Medium-modified fragmentation functions and nPDFs

Rodolfo Sassot Universidad de Buenos Aires

> in collaboration with M. Stratmann and P. Zurita Phys.Rev.D81 054001 (2010)

> > UFRGS, Porto Alegre March 2010

Motivation

high pT hadroproduction in pA and AA: RHIC, LHC

study hadronization in different environments

Factorization & universality in a nucleus?



relevant for the extraction of nPDFs

Early evidence:

SLAC Phys.Rev.Lett. 40, 1624 (1978) EMC Z.Phys. C52, 1 (1991) E665 Phys.Rev. D50, 1836 (1994)

Precise SIDIS: HERMES Nucl. Phys.B 780 1 (2007);

Precise dAu: PHENIX Phys.Rev.Lett.98 172302 (2007) STAR Phys.Lett.B616, 8 (2005) B637, 161 (2006)



Precise SIDIS: HERMES Nucl. Phys.B 780 1 (2007);

$$R(z,Q^2,\nu) = \frac{\left(\frac{N^{sidis}}{N^{inc}}\right)_A}{\left(\frac{N^{sidis}}{N^{inc}}\right)_D}$$



PHENIX Phys.Rev.Lett.98 172302 (2007).

STAR Phys.Lett.B616, 8 (2005) B637, 161 (2006)

O.Grebenyuk, Ph.D.Thesis, arXiv:0909.3006.











DIS rates to D



Drell Yan rates to D

 $f_{i/p}(x,Q^2) \longrightarrow f_{i/A}(x,Q^2)$

D.de Florian R.Sassot Phys.Rev.D69 074028 (2004) M.Hirai, S.Kumano, T.H.Nagai Phys.Rev.C76 065207 (2007) K.Eskola, H.Paukkunen, C.A.Salgado, JHEP0904, 065 (2009)



DIS rates to D



scale dependence

 $f_{i/p}(x,Q^2) \longrightarrow f_{i/A}(x,Q^2)$

D.de Florian R.Sassot Phys.Rev.D69 074028 (2004) M.Hirai, S.Kumano, T.H.Nagai Phys.Rev.C76 065207 (2007) K.Eskola, H.Paukkunen, C.A.Salgado, JHEP0904, 065 (2009)



Dsealler die preihelsertoeD



 $D^h_{i/p}(z,Q^2) \longrightarrow D^h_{i/A}(z,Q^2)$?

 $f_{i/p}(x,Q^2) \longrightarrow f_{i/A}(x,Q^2)$



nFFs factorize all non-perturbative details?

"universal" (interchangeable)?

well defined framework beyond LO?

constrained by data through global NLO fit?

Why it could not work:

factorization breakingWiedemann et al. arXiv:1002.2537non universality of hadronizationAccardi et al. arXiv:0907.3534modified energy scale dependenceArleo arXiv:0810.1193nuclear/high density higher twistsArleo et al. arXiv:0911.4604

(Please fill in the blanks)

nPDFs digression:

EPS nPDFs

K.Eskola, H.Paukkunen, C.A.Salgado, JHEP0904, 065 (2009)

designed to reproduce dAu data (assuming no FF effects)

nDS nPDFs

D.de Florian R.S. Phys.Rev.D69 074028 (2004)



nPDFs digression:

 ★ designed to reproduce dAu data (assuming no FF effects)
 ★ unusual gluons
 ★ extra normalizations: (?) STAR 0.90 PHENIX 1.03



Baseline:

consistency \rightarrow nDS nPDFs

D.de Florian, R.S. Phys.Rev.D69 074028 (2004)

reference DSS FFs

D.de Florian, R.S., M.Stratmann Phys.Rev.D75 114010 (2007) Phys.Rev.D76 074033 (2007)

PP reference





low pT CMS data sidis reference

Baseline:

consistency \rightarrow nDS nPDFs

D.de Florian, R.S. Phys.Rev.D69 074028 (2004)

reference DSS FFs

D.de Florian, R.S., M.Stratmann Phys.Rev.D75 114010 (2007) Phys.Rev.D76 074033 (2007)





low pT CMS data

CMS arXiv:1002.0621

Fitting nFFs: convolution approach

$$D_{i/A}^{h}(z,Q_{0}^{2}) = \int_{z}^{1} dy W_{i}(y,A,Q_{0}^{2}) D_{i}^{h}(\frac{z}{y},Q_{0}^{2})$$

works for nPDFs re-scalings/shifts modifies FFs natural language NLO

$$W_i(y, A, Q_0^2) = \delta(1 - y)$$

$$W_i(y, A, Q_0^2) = \delta(1 - \epsilon - y)$$

$$W_i(y, A, Q_0^2) = n_i y^{\alpha_i} (1-y)^{\beta_i}$$

no effects

z-shift (energy loss) enhancement/suppression, re-shape

weighting coefficients
$$\epsilon_i, n_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i$$
 with a smooth A dependence
 $n_i = \lambda^{n_i} + \gamma^{n_i} A^{\delta^{n_i}}$ with $\lambda^{n_i}, \gamma^{n_i}, \delta^{n_i}$ parameters to be fitted

A very simple example for pion production:

Toy parameterization

no significant differences between charged pions

$$W_q^{\pi}(y, A, Q_0^2) = W_{\overline{q}}^{\pi}(y, A, Q_0^2) = n_q \delta(1 - y) + \epsilon_q \,\delta(1 - \epsilon_q - y)$$

z-independent energy loss?

$$W_g^{\pi}(y, A, Q_0^2) = n_g \delta(1 - y) + \epsilon_g \,\delta(1 - \epsilon_g - y)$$



simplest A-dependence:

$$n_q = 1 + \gamma_{n_q} A^{2/3}$$

$$n_g = 1 + \gamma_{n_g} A^{2/3}$$

$$\epsilon_q = \epsilon_g = \gamma_\epsilon A^{2/3}.$$

3 parameters

А	n_q	$\epsilon_q = \epsilon_g$	n_{g}
He	0.966	0.001	1.015
Ne	0.902	0.002	1.044
Kr	0.745	0.006	1.115
Xe	0.657	0.008	1.155
Au	0.550	0.010	1.203

Toy parameterization

normalization & trend

not flexible enough for x-dependence: gluons?

no conflict with standard evolution



Toy parameterization

pT dependence!

quark/gluon interplay

 $\chi^2/d.o.f.\sim 2$



Refined parameterization

quark fragmentation

$$W_q^H(y, A, Q_0^2) = n_q y^{\alpha_q} (1-y)^{\beta_q} + n'_q \delta(1-\epsilon_q - y)$$

$$W_g^H(y, A, Q_0^2) = n_g y^{\alpha_g} (1-y)^{\beta_g} + n'_g \delta(1-\epsilon_g - y)$$

gluon fragmentation

$$n_i = \lambda^{n_i} + \gamma^{n_i} A^{\delta^{n_i}}$$

smooth A-dependence

$$\lambda^n \sim 1 \ \lambda^{n'} \sim 0$$
 vanishing effects as $A \longrightarrow 1$ \sim 14 parameters

 $\chi^2 = 396.0$ 381 data points 14 parameters $\chi^2/d.o.f = 1.08$

z and x dependence

no conflict with standard evolution



 $\chi^2 = 396.0$ 381 data points 14 parameters $\chi^2/d.o.f = 1.08$

good description: normalization pT dependence



R_{dAu} rates are not trivial!

0.7 0.7 $\langle Z \rangle$ for $d\sigma^{\pi^{\nu}}/dp_{T}$ $\langle Z \rangle$ for $d\sigma^{\pi^0}/dp_T$ dAu pp, dAu high-z 0.6 0.6 dominated sum $g \rightarrow \pi^0$ pp (b)dAu $a \rightarrow \pi$ (a) 0.5 0.5 10 5 $15 p_{T}$ [GeV] 5 10 $15 p_{T}$ [GeV] 0.08 $g \rightarrow \pi^0$ $d\sigma^{\pi^0}/dz$ 0.8 "safe" 0.06 scaled by $\sigma_{int}^{\pi^0}$ $p_T = 8$ 0.6 z-range 0.04 0.4 $p_T =$ $_{\rm T} = 15 \, {\rm GeV}$ 0.02 (d) pp 0.2 dAu (c)0 0.8 z 0.2 0.4 0.6 10 5 $15 p_{T}$ [GeV] 0 1

gluon/quark balance

z-dependence

$$R_q = \frac{D_{q/A}(z,Q^2)}{D_{q/p}(z,Q^2)}$$

quarks mimic SIDIS

gluons do the opposite



low z behavior not supported by data: artifact?

A-dependence

1.6 0.03 1.4 n'g • He 1.2 0.02 $\varepsilon_q = \varepsilon_g$ □ Ne compensation? • Kr 0.01 0.8 ° Xe n'_q 0.6 • Au 0 0.4 100 100 200 200 0 0 almost linear 26 50 n_q 0.5 24 40 0 22 α_{q} -0.5 30 20 -1 n_g β_q 20 18 α_{g} -1.5 16 100 100 200 200 200 100 0 0 0 Α Α Α

 $\begin{array}{lll} W_q^H(y, A, Q_0^2) &=& n_q \, y^{\alpha_q} (1-y)^{\beta_q} + n'_q \delta(1-\epsilon_q-y) \\ W_g^H(y, A, Q_0^2) &=& n_g \, y^{\alpha_g} (1-y)^{\beta_g} + n'_g \delta(1-\epsilon_g-y) \end{array}$

Centrality classes

nFFs as an average:

 \sim underestimate central \sim overestimate peripheral

scale factor

 $\mathcal{C} \equiv \left\langle \frac{E \, d^3 \sigma^{\pi^0} / dp^3 \big|_{dAu}^{c.c.}}{E \, d^3 \sigma^{\pi^0} / dp^3 \big|_{dAu}^{m.b.}} \right\rangle_{c.c.}$



Conclusions

A NLO pQCD factorizable scheme with effective nFF process-independent universal nFF standard evolution equations A, z, Q^2 , v and pT-dependence of SIDIS and dAU data effective nFFs as tools for "distilling" data changes in quark fragmentation "look like" mostly energy loss effects in gluons are quite different cross sections and rates result from non trivial interplay predictions based on nFFs can be tested by upcoming data JLAB, RHIC, LHC and in the future at EIC dAu or pA data can help to further constrain nPDFs, serve the reference for AA data,

provided we have a clear picture of nFF