

BK equation and traveling wave solutions

<u>J. T. S. Amaral¹</u>, M. A Betemps², M. B. Gay Ducati³, G. Soyez^{4,†}

^{1,2,3} Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil
 ⁴ Service de physique théorique, CEA/Saclay, France
 [†] on leave from the University of Liège

Introduction

- There has been a large amount of work devoted to the description and understanding of **quantum chromodynamics** (QCD) in the high energy limit corresponding to **saturation**
 - Theory: non-linear QCD equations describing the evolution of scattering amplitudes towards saturation
 - Phenomenology: discovery of geometric scaling in DIS at HERA
- The Balitsky-Kovchegov (BK) nonlinear equation describes the evolution in rapidity of the scattering amplitude of a dipole off a given target; assuming an independence on the impact parameter, the BK equation has been shown to lie in the same universality class as the **Fisher-Kolmogorov-Petrovsky-Piscounov** (FKPP) equation
- Geometric scaling has a natural explanation in terms of the so-called traveling wave solutions of BK equation
- The evolution at low energy is well understood and is described by a linear equation; the deep saturation regime can also be evaluated in some models
 - However, the transition between these two regimes is still a challenge

Geometric Scaling and BK equation

Geometric scaling is a phenomenological feature of high energy deep inelastic scattering (DIS) which has been observed in the HERA data on inclusive $\gamma^* - p$ scattering, which is expressed as a scaling property of the virtual photon-proton cross section



$$\sigma^{\gamma^* p}(Y, Q) = \sigma^{\gamma^* p}(\tau), \quad \tau = \frac{Q^2}{Q_s^2(Y)}$$

where Q is the virtuality of the photon, $Y = \log 1/x$ is the total rapidity and $Q_s(Y)$ is an increasing function of Ycalled **saturation scale**



It is convenient to work within the QCD dipole frame of DIS



In the LLA of perturbative QCD (pQCD), the cross section factorizes as

$$\sigma^{\gamma^* p}(Y,Q) = \int_0^\infty r \, dr \int_0^1 dz \, |\psi(z, \, r, \, Q)|^2 \, \sigma_{dip}^{\gamma^* p}(Y, \, r) \tag{1}$$

 $\sigma_{dip}^{\gamma^* p}(Y,r)$ is the dipole-proton cross section, taken to be proportional to the forward scattering amplitude N(Y,r) through the relation

$$\sigma_{dip}^{\gamma^* p}(Y, r) = 2\pi R_p^2 N(r, Y) \tag{2}$$



We define the forward scattering amplitude in momentum space $\mathcal{N}(Y, k)$

$$\mathcal{N}(Y,\,k) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dr}{r} J_0(kr) N(Y,\,r) \tag{3}$$

and in this picture geometric scaling property reads

$$\mathcal{N}(Y,\,k) = \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{k}{Q_s(Y)}\right) \tag{4}$$

 ${\cal N}$ obeys the Balitsky-Kovchegov (BK) equation

$$\partial_Y \mathcal{N} = \bar{\alpha} \chi (-\partial_L) \mathcal{N} - \bar{\alpha} \mathcal{N}^2, \qquad \bar{\alpha} = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{\pi}$$
 (5)



In this equation

$$\chi(\gamma) = 2\psi(1) - \psi(\gamma) - \psi(1 - \gamma)$$
(6)

is the characteristic function of the well known Balitsky-Fadin-Kuraev-Lipatov (BFKL) kernel, and $L = \log (k^2/k_0^2)$, where k_0 is some fixed low momentum scale

The kernel χ is an integro-differential operator which may be defined with the help of the formal series expansion

$$\chi(-\partial_{L}) = \chi(\gamma_{0})\mathbf{1} + \chi'(\gamma_{0})(-\partial_{L} - \gamma_{0}\mathbf{1}) + \frac{1}{2}\chi''(\gamma_{0})(-\partial_{L} - \gamma_{0}\mathbf{1})^{2} + \frac{1}{6}\chi^{(3)}(\gamma_{0})(-\partial_{L} - \gamma_{0}\mathbf{1})^{3} + \dots$$
(7)

for some γ_0 between 0 and 1, *i.e.* for the principal branch of the function χ

BK and FKPP equations

The Fisher and Kolmogorov-Petrovsky-Piscounov (FKPP) equation is a famous equation in non-equilibrium statistical physics, whose dynamics is called reaction-diffusion dynamics,

$$\partial_t u(x,t) = \partial_x^2 u(x,t) + u - u^2, \tag{8}$$

where t is time and x is the coordinate.

It has been shown that, after the change of variables

$$t \sim \bar{\alpha}Y, \quad x \sim \log(k^2/k_0^2), \quad u \sim \mathcal{N}$$
 (9)

BK equation reduces to FKPP equation, when its kernel is approximated by the first three terms of the expansion, the so-called **diffusive approximation** or **saddle point approximation**

Traveling wave solutions

- The FKPP evolution equation admits the so-called **traveling wave solutions**
 - For a traveling wave solution one can define the position of a wave front x(t) = v(t), irrespective of the details of the nonlinear effects
 - At larges times, the shape of a traveling wave is preserved during its propagation, and the solution becomes only a function of the scaling variable x vt



In the language of saturation physics the position of the wave front is nothing but the saturation scale

$$x(t) \sim \ln Q_s^2(Y)$$

and the scaling corresponds to the geometric scaling

$$x - vt \sim \ln k^2 / Q_s^2(Y)$$



The linear part of the BK equation is solved by

$$\mathcal{N}(k,Y) = \int \frac{d\gamma}{2\pi i} \mathcal{N}_0(\gamma) \exp(-\gamma L + \bar{\alpha}\chi(\gamma)Y)$$
(10)

The velocity of the front is given by

$$v = v_g = \min_{\gamma} \bar{\alpha} \frac{\chi(\gamma)}{\gamma} = \bar{\alpha} \frac{\chi(\gamma_c)}{\gamma_c} = \bar{\alpha} \chi'(\gamma_c)$$
(11)

where γ_c is the saddle point of the exponential phase factor

This fixes, for the BFKL kernel,

$$\gamma_c = 0.6275..., \quad v = 4.88\bar{\alpha}$$
 (12)



Scattering amplitude

In terms of QCD variables, the dipole forward scattering amplitude in momentum space near the wave front reads

$$\mathcal{N}(\tau, Y) \propto \sqrt{\frac{2}{\bar{\alpha}\chi''(\gamma_c)}} \log\left(\frac{k^2}{Q_s^2(Y)}\right) \left(\frac{k^2}{Q_s^2(Y)}\right)^{-\gamma_c} \exp\left(-\frac{\log^2\left(\frac{k^2}{Q_s^2(Y)}\right)}{2\bar{\alpha}\chi''(\gamma_c)Y}\right)$$
(13)

The saturation scale is defined as

$$Q_s^2(Y) = Q_0^2 \exp\left(\bar{\alpha} \frac{\chi(\gamma_c)}{\gamma_c} Y - \frac{3}{2\gamma_c} \log Y\right).$$
(14)

 Q_0 absorbs undetermined constants but remains of order k_0 .



Connecting to Saturation

- We are studying the connection between of the traveling wave solution with the saturation region
 - These different domains can be parametrized as

$$\mathcal{N}(\tau, Y) = c - \log\left(\frac{k}{Q_s(Y)}\right)$$

when, $k < 2Q_s(Y)$, and

$$\mathcal{N}(k,Y) \propto \sqrt{\frac{2}{\bar{\alpha}\chi''(\gamma_c)}} \left(\frac{k^2}{Q_s^2(Y)}\right)^{-\gamma_c} \log\left(\frac{k^2}{Q_s^2(Y)}\right) \exp\left\{-\frac{\log^2\left(\frac{k^2}{Q_s^2(Y)}\right)}{2\bar{\alpha}\chi''(\gamma_c)Y}\right\}$$

when, $k > 2Q_s(Y)$

Connecting to Saturation

The first attempt was to perform a **matching** between the two regions



However, a matching procedure does not necessarily imply a positive Fourier transform of the scattering amplitude. Then, a better way to obtain the connection between the two regions which satisfies this condition would be an interpolation



In order to obtain an interpolation model to connect the regions of interest we intend to build the saturation domain from the dilute one in the following way:

$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_{dil}}} \tag{15}$$

This expression guarantees the correct asymptotic behaviors of the forward scattering amplitude \mathcal{N} . Indeed,

$$\checkmark$$
 when $\mathcal{N}_{dil} \ll 1$

$$\mathcal{N} \approx \mathcal{N}_{dil}$$
 (16)

 \checkmark when $\mathcal{N}_{dil} \gg 1$

$$\mathcal{N} \approx 1.$$
 (17)



- C. Marquet, R. Peschanski, and G. Soyez, Phys.Lett.B **628**, 239 (2005).
- A. M Staśto, K. Golec-Biernat, and J. Kwiecinski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 596 (2001).
- S. Munier and R. Peschanski, Phys. Lett. 91, 232001 (2003); Phys. Rev. D 69, 034008 (2004).
- I. I. Balitsky, Nucl. Phys. B 463, 99 (1996).
- Y. V. Kovchegov, Phys. Rev. D 60, 034008 (1999); Phys. Rev. D. 61, 074018 (2000).